	Case 1:24-cv-00701-JLT-SAB Documen	nt 12 Filed 08/22/24 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CRISTOBAL RAMOS-QUIROZ,	No. 1:24-cv-00701-JLT-SAB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S	
13	v.	APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	(ECF No. 2)	
15 16	Defendant.	ORDER DISREGARDING MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FILED BY DEFENDANT	
17		(ECF No. 9)	
18		(2011.0.7)	
19	The instant action was transferred to th	is Court by the United States Court of Federal	
20	Claims. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff filed also an application to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF No.		
21	2.) Plaintiff's application demonstrates entitlement to proceed without prepayment of fees.		
22	Notwithstanding this order, the Court does not direct that service be undertaken until the Court		
23	screens the complaint in due course and issues its screening order.		
24	On August 16, 2024, Defendant filed a motion for administrative relief seeking an		
25	extension of time to file a responsive pleading if a response is currently due. (ECF No. 9.)		
26	However, because Plaintiff is proceeding in forma pauperis the Court must screen Plaintiff's		
27	complaint prior to ordering a responsive pleading, and Defendant's motion for administrative		
28			
		1	

	Case 1:24-cv-00701-JLT-SAB Document 12 Filed 08/22/24 Page 2 of 2	
1	relief is unnecessary.	
2	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
3	1. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is granted; and	
4	2. Defendant's motion for administrative relief (ECF No. 9) is disregarded as	
5	unnecessary.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: August 22, 2024	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		